

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARYMENT OF COMMERCE United States Hatent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OR PATENTS P.O. bay 1842 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.juspb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/022,325	12/14/2001	Bradley Taylor	МРТ-003	4911		
22888 7590 01/17/2007 BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP TRI-VALLEY OFFICE			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			COULTER, I	KENNETH R		
1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G LIVERMORE, CA 94550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2141			
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			. 01/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/022,325	TAYLOR, BRADLEY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth R. Coulter	2141		

	LXUIIIIIII	74. O.I.I.	
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examina	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavious (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprise nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	tion on with 27 OFD 44 27 mount be	Clard within two manth	a af tha data of :
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		tuoina or cimplifyina t	ho issues for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ile issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•	(
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all would be all when the content is the content in the con		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		anicity mod amonamor	w carrooming with
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• • •		
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7-10 and 17-20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,11-16 and 25-27</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-0,11-10 and 20-21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		pyrone ya krijetili k r	2011157
		MINUTERNA R. (
		remin (mere

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/022,325

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would require further search and consideration. For example, see claim 1 ("of bytes set for a message").

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims 1 - 6, 11 - 16, and 25 - 27 under 35 USC 102(b) with respect to Cho (U.S. Pat. No. 5,978,452); and the rejection of claims 1 - 6, 11 - 16, and 25 - 27 under 35 USC 102(b) with respect to Stanczak et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,974,414).